

„Meeting Societies on Education“

EPF/IPA Joint Pilot Project of Psychoanalytic Education Committee (PEC)

Date: Friday, December 4th 2020

Time: 9 am to 4:30 pm

Video conference by zoom

PREAMBLE:

The actual mandate of the Psychoanalytic Education Committee was approved by the Board in April 2018. The oversight function of the PEC was ended, replaced by a focus on helping institutes and societies maintain and develop quality educational practices.

The PEC starts in 2020 a new Pilot project “ Meeting Societies on Education”

In these mutual collegial encounters between different institutes/Societies we want to stimulate self-reflection of institutes/societies and thereby enhance the quality of psychoanalytic education. Discussing questions of training in a collegial atmosphere during encounters of two or three institutes creates an open space for reflection on training and learning from each other. Self-reflection within training centres is always aided by “another set of eyes.” In this mutual exchange of ideas and experiences, not only problems become more visible and can consequently be worked through, strengths and creative solutions can be transferred to each other and thereby enhance mutual learning by experience.

Angelika Staehle (DPV Germany), Moderator

Chair of the IPA Psychoanalytic Education Committee
a.staehle@t-online.de

Marie-France Dispaux, (Belgium) Moderator/ Observer

European member of the IPA Psychoanalytic Education Committee.
mariefrance@dispaux.com

Participating Societies:

Paris Psychoanalytic Society:

Ellen Sparer, director of training, easparer@gmail.com

Alain Gibeault, alain.gibeault@gmail.com

Kalyane Fejtö, member, kalyanefejto@gmail.com

Mirella de Piccioto, en formation (candidate, IPSO representative), mdepicciotto@hotmail.fr

Italian Psychoanalytic Society:

Anna Maria Nicolò (Faculty: Training President), anna.nicolo07@gmail.com

Anna Ferruta (Director of Training delegate), anna.ferruta@spiweb.it

Benedetta Guerrini degli Innocenti (Full Member TA), guerrinib@gmail.com

Massimo Vigna Taglianti (Full Member TA), m.vignataglianti@gmail.com

Riccardo Williams (Candidate), riccardo.williams@uniroma1.it

Gabriella Giustino (listener), gabriella.giustino3@gmail.com

Finnish Psychoanalytic Society:

Anneli Larmo, psychiatrist, TSA, the former DOT ,anneli.larmo@pp.fimnet.fi

Merja Kaleva, TSA, DOT, merja.kaleva@pp.inet.fi

Eija Repo, psychiatrist, the president of the Finnish Society, repo.eija@kolumbus.fi

Jussi Kotkavirta, Phil.dr., member (who has been interested in the different training models)
jussi.kotkavirta@gmail.com

Erja Hänninen is our candidate member, erja.hanninen@fimnet.fi,

Schedule

Friday, December 4th

9:00 - 9:10: Introductions - brief orientation to the meeting

9:10 – 10:30 Introductions of Societies
(15 minutes per society)

- As an introduction to the other societies present, please provide a brief history of your society, especially as it relates to its origin, development (including splits and reunions) and an overview role of authority (where does it reside? in TAs? in the faculty? Chairs of committees? Board?). How is this ideology organized in the governance structure? Are you a center with a board of which the institute is one program? Or is there an institute and a society, or other organization?
- This will be a chance for your society to reflect on where the society came from. Also, how might the institute's past continue (or not continue) to influence the training model?

(35 minutes - Open Discussion)

Discussion of important elements (or pillars) of training; how each society organizes or implements these pillars; where challenges are found in each society. This is an opportunity to explore your questions, concerns, alternative points of view, and how challenges were met in similar or different ways.

10:30-11:00: Coffee break

11:00-12:30 Topic 1: Philosophy of Formation: Creating a psychoanalytic identity for candidates
(We use "formation" to indicate the ongoing process of creating a psychoanalytic identity)

(Open Discussion)

This topic explores the way your society views the initiation of training, the development of the candidate, and the graduation or completion of formal training as it relates to the overall establishment of an independently functioning analyst with an established identity as an analyst. Elements include:

- How do you view supervision as an agent for formation?

- Training Analysis: What is your society's philosophy of what constitutes a training analysis in the Eitingon model or a personal analysis in the French model? Do you have a specific documentation procedure about the number of hours or length
- How is progression during training being assessed? What is the rationale for assessing progression and why is it done as it is?

12:30 – 13.30 LUNCH break

13:30 – 15:00 Topic 2: What is the governance structure of your training committee? What are the strengths, challenges and limitations of this organization?

Possible issues for discussion and exchange:

- Who is eligible to become a Training Analyst and/or Supervising Analyst? What are the procedures to be appointed TA or SA or TSA? What do you see as the strengths and challenges of your system of appointing leaders including TA's?
- Do you involve candidates in your governance/committee structure? If not, why not? If yes, how are they involved and what is your experience with their involvement?
- How is the evaluation of the candidates organized: Who makes the decisions? a training committee, discussion of the candidate's acquired competence in private with the supervisor, in little groups, with the whole membership, in groups representing the membership.

15:00 – 15.30 Coffee break

15:30 – 16.30 Feedback to societies and further development: conclusion and perspectives of the meeting

Each participating society will prepare a summary about what they learned from the discussion with the peer societies on their points of strength, challenges, weaknesses, probable changes in their training. What they will take home as outcome to their societies and work on it.

The moderator and observer will give as well a summary of their experience, what they learned and think to develop further for the next meeting. We plan to have a successive meeting with the same societies in 2021. This meeting will be online as well, given that the EPF executive has decided, that the EPF conference planned for Nice will be online.