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Dear colleagues,

it is with great pleasure that we invite you to the 30th conference of the EPF, which will take place in The Hague from April 6th to April 9th. The conference will be hosted by the Dutch Psychoanalytic Society, which was newly established in June 2016. We consider ourselves very fortunate that three formerly separate Dutch psychoanalytic societies are now united within the NPaV, and we are happy that the conference can be hosted under the lucky star of this successful integration.

The establishment of the NPaV, coincidentally touches on the general topic of the congress. We chose this topic because presently, and within a very short period of time, Europe must face immense political, economical and cultural challenges, and bear the high potential for conflict that calls into question previously familiar social structures. Within Europe's conception of itself cleavages and even ruptures have emerged, which not only affect the reflections and emotions of society as a whole, but of each individual citizen as well.

The causes of these ruptures can be explained by the rather cataclysmal shifts within external as well as internal life conditions. Due to the influx of refugees, which is at times inflated, increased national self-interests are generated. In this socio-cultural context, we must deal with a conflict between phantasies concerning the potential influence of the unfamiliar and wishes to conserve our own culture. Striving to work through this area of conflict, we, as psychoanalysts, bear our own specific responsibilities. Our knowledge of the influence of unconscious individual and social dynamics qualifies us to participate in political and scientific discussions, and compels us to intensify our research of the specific intra-psychic and interpersonal processes involved.

This is precisely the reason we would like to attend to the relationship between the familiar and the unfamiliar in different areas of practice inside and beyond the psychoanalytical treatment room.

While translating the originally German title, we became aware of the fact that it was not possible to agree on a completely identical meaning in all three languages. Thus, the formulations we came up with are compromises, which all the while contain an unfamiliar element for the other language respectively and simultaneously evoke an ambiguous and perhaps unfamiliar comprehension within one's own language. Hence, the theme of the conference is already depicted in the dialectical tension between the different languages.

Despite these disparities, we would like to identify some fundamental features of the Familiar and the Unfamiliar, which should be equally valid for all cultures and languages.

The philosopher Ernst Bloch (1964) phrased the individual's developmental starting point concisely and clearly as follows: “Ich bin, aber ich habe mich nicht. Darum werden wir erst.“ (I am, but I don't have myself. Therefore we're only just becoming.)¹ Herewith, he refers to the existential necessity to reach out for the sake of getting in touch with one's own self. Indeed, this conception corresponds to psychoanalytic body of thought. As early as 1895, in his “Project of a Psychology”, Freud alluded to the fact that human beings learn to cognize through the “Nebenmensch”². Meanwhile, it has come to be psychoanalytical common knowledge that the infant depends on his “Nebenmensch”, i.e. his mother and father, in order to develop his own self through emotional and cognitive communication. Likewise, we know that, depending on the parental capability to recognize the problem of other minds and to encourage mentalization/symbolization, the child's true self may emerge – or an alien and thus false self may develop. Both possibilities are subject to the presence of an Other, and inherently, alterity is a necessary condition for further mental development and identity formation. Against this backdrop, the maturing child may well sense a place of yearning within his image of an unfamiliar and remote world, even if this implies dealing with collateral anxiety. In this context, Bloch (1959)

---

termed the phrase “the beautiful unfamiliar”3. Thus, this faraway place and the unfamiliar is rendered attractive due to something dynamic, a motivation emphasizing one’s own agency. A reversal to anxiety and resistance may however be evoked, if the foreign intrudes on the child or the adult, inducing fear of passivity or impotence which is experienced as a terrifying threat. In this case, the productive and creative of the unfamiliar is abandoned, and the individual, or, respectively, the specific group of persons, according to the individual situation, begins to fight the unfamiliar “intruder” with realistic or distorted defense mechanisms. Hence, the unfamiliar is no longer experienced as a rewarding enhancement, but rather as a danger to one’s own identity. In this case, mental blockades are established within the individual or in the particular group of people, which are often based on a schizoid level of mental organization, drawing on primitive patterns of splitting as well as on non-differentiating friend-enemy concepts. In our treatments as well as in our public demeanor, we, as psychoanalysts, are faced with the task of discriminating between the vital care of one’s self (and its own) and the self-limiting rejection of the alien, which is based on fear of persecution.

According to the philosopher Bernhard Waldenfels (2006), the ambiguity of the Other as compared to the Self and its own can be examined on at least three levels: If the Other appears to be something exterior to one’s own domain, as opposed to something interior, it refers to a place. If the Other is something belonging to someone else, and not to oneself, it refers to a claim. If the Other is experienced as something foreign and uncanny as opposed to the familiar, it refers to a kind of understanding4. As psychoanalysts we could agree with the philosopher, inasmuch as both entities are not secluded in themselves and the attributes “other/alien” as well as “own” have a relational character. Ownness emerges only from disengagement and relation to the other/alien and both are intertwined in the intercultural relationship as well.

In the main lectures held by Sverre Varvin (Norwegian Soc), Franco de Masi (Italian Soc) as well as Fakhry Davids (British Soc) and Denis Hirsch (Belgian Soc), as well as in several panels concerning the theme of the conference, we would like to create a space for the intrapsychic and intercultural aspects of such an intertwined relationship. This includes, among others, examining our perspective on the relationship between European citizens and refugees, the increase of violence and terrorism against “the others”, our acceptance or rejection of cultures perceived as foreign or “strange”, as well as the “uncanny” and unfamiliar aspects within our own Self. It is also of great importance to elucidate mutual intelligibility between one’s mother tongue and a foreign language and the appropriate translations respectively, as well as verbal-symbolical interpretations in the psychoanalytic process. In addition, we plan a round table for an inter-disciplinary discourse on this issue.

We would like to thank the scientific committee, Jan Abram (British Soc), Josina Gussenhoven (Dutch Soc), Wouter Gomperts (Dutch Soc), Udo Hock (German Assoc), Annika Hirdmann Künstcher (Swedish Assoc), Alberto Luchetti (Italian Soc), Pascale Michon-Raffaitin (French Assoc) and Alexander Uskov (Moscow Soc), as well as the local committee chaired by Gerda Frijda (Dutch Soc).

The presidents of the societies of the EPF and the executive wish you a stimulating and personally successful conference.

Jorge Canestri President EPF
Heribert Blass Vice-president and chairman of the scientific committee
Martina Burdet Dombald Secretary general

---

3 Bloch, E. (1959). Das Prinzip Hoffnung. Frankfurt am Main (Suhrkamp) p.22
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:15-10:30</td>
<td>First Plenary on the Main Theme</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>• Opening of the conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jorge Canestri (EPF President)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annemarie de Wit (President of Dutch Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction to the conference theme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heribert Blass (Chair of the Programme Committee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Our relation to refugees: between compassion and dehumanisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Sverre Varvin (Norwegian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ursula Kreuzer-Haustein (German Soc) as discussant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Wojtek Hanbowksi (Polish Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Post Plenary Discussion Group</td>
<td>EN-FR-GE</td>
<td>Laura Viviana Strauss (German Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gianina Micu (Romanian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Parallel Panel on the Main Theme</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>• On translation in different psychoanalytic languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Laurence Kahn (French Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>The psychoanalytic interpretation as a translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Riccardo Steiner (British Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Gabriel Sapisochin (Madrid Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>“Das Eigene und das Fremde.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gunther Perdigao (American Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The common language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Anita Plume (Estonian-Latvian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Love for a stranger. A feminine ethical perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cristiana Cimino (Italian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yossi Triest (Israel Soc) as discussant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Leopoldo Bleger (French Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>On the uncanny and primitive aspects of oneself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antonius Stufkens (Dutch Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ekaterina Kalmykova (Moscow Soc) as discussant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Viviane Chetrut-Vatine (Israel Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Further Panels</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Forum for the Psychoanalysis of Adolescents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The familiar and the unfamiliar in the adolescence: The relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to the body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catalina Bronstein (British Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simone Sausse-Korff (Paris Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Patricia Grieve (Madrid Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Ad hoc Group on Forensic Psychoanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treating patients who have killed: The importance of diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>clarification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Massimo de Mari (Italian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Carine Minne (British Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Workshop on Psychoanalysis with Infants and Parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The scream – the emergence of transgenerational trauma in parent-infant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>psychotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marco Mastella (Italian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Elena Fieschi Viscardi (Spanish Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Forum Free Clinical Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unfamiliar talking on clinical material? The method of the Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Wegner (German Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jonathan Sklar (British Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Claudia Thüblas (German Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Milagros Cid Sanz (Madrid Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-16:00</td>
<td>Parallel Panel on the Main Theme</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Fear of strangeness: Anti-semitism and xenophobia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ilany Kogan (Israel Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Michael Sebek (Czech Soc) as discussant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Maria Teresa Flores (Portuguese Study Group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>The uncanny and aesthetic experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solvi Kristiansen (Norwegian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>The uncanny and the strange: Reading Camus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;L'Etranger' with Freud's, 'The Uncanny'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotraud De Clerck (German Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Infantile sexuality following an internal foreign presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dominique Suchet (French Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Workshop on Psychoanalysis of Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Modern family and adolescent break down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dirk Vliestra (Dutch Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Majlis Winberg-Salomonsson (Swedish Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30-18:00</td>
<td>Individual Paper Presentations</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Working Party on Psychosomatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enstranged body; frightening analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bérengère de Senarclens (Swiss Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As discussants: Luigi Solano (Italian Soc), Nick Temple (British Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Jörg Frommer (German Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Forum on Collective Traumata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How to work psychoanalytically with refugees in the current crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marianne Leuzinger-Bohleble (German Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A psychoanalytic transit between integration, ethnic origin,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>transformation (PER project European Psychoanalysts for the Refugees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anna Ferruta (Italian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30-18:00</td>
<td>Large Group</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Meet-the-Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>History of Psychoanalysis in the Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anna Bentinck van Schoonheten (Dutch Soc), Annemarie de Wit (Dutch Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alexander Janssen (Dutch Soc), Tineke Hartgers (Dutch Soc), Henk de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mej (Dutch Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30-18:00</td>
<td>Film Presentation</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Workshop on Psychoanalysis of Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Modern family and adolescent break down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dirk Vliestra (Dutch Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Forum on Psychoanalysis and Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reflections on Freud’s work ‘The Uncanny’ SE XVII (1917-1919)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30-19:30</td>
<td>Film Presentation</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Working Party on Psychosomatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enstranged body; frightening analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bérengère de Senarclens (Swiss Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As discussants: Luigi Solano (Italian Soc), Nick Temple (British Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Jörg Frommer (German Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30-19:30</td>
<td>Film Presentation</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Forum on Collective Traumata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How to work psychoanalytically with refugees in the current crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marianne Leuzinger-Bohleble (German Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A psychoanalytic transit between integration, ethnic origin,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>transformation (PER project European Psychoanalysts for the Refugees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anna Ferruta (Italian Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00-21:00</td>
<td>Film Presentation</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Working Party on Psychosomatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enstranged body; frightening analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bérengère de Senarclens (Swiss Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As discussants: Luigi Solano (Italian Soc), Nick Temple (British Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair: Jörg Frommer (German Soc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00-21:00</td>
<td>Film Presentation</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>• Forum on Collective Traumata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How to work psychoanalytically with refugees in the current crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marianne Leuzinger-Bohleble (German Assoc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A psychoanalytic transit between integration, ethnic origin,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>transformation (PER project European Psychoanalysts for the Refugees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anna Ferruta (Italian Soc)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ST = simultaneously translated  EN = Panel in English  FR = Panel in French  GE = Panel in German
## Saturday, April 8, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:15-09:45</td>
<td>Second Plenary on the Main Theme</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:45-10:30</td>
<td>Sigourney Award Ceremony</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Post Plenary Discussion Group -- EN, FR, GE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Parallel Panel on the Main Theme</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Language and identity: the unconscious dynamics of lost childhood</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Europe in dark times</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>The ambiguity of our perceptions</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Clinical panel on the Main Theme</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>The psychoanalytical relationship not as an ordinary but a strange one</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Further Panels</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Psychoanalysis and University -- EN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00-16:00</td>
<td>Round Table Discussion</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-16:00</td>
<td>Parallel Panel on the Main Theme</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-16:00</td>
<td>Clinical panel on the Main Theme</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-16:00</td>
<td>Further Panels</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30-18:00</td>
<td>Individual Paper Presentations</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Sunday, April 9, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:30-11:30</td>
<td>Third Plenary on the Main Theme -- ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30-12:00</td>
<td>Closing Session</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This section of the conference is intended to allow members of the EPF component societies (including candidates) to become more involved with the EPF scientific work and to submit their ongoing clinical work and research for peer discussion and debate. It is also possible for smaller groups to submit their work. Submitted papers will undergo peer review organized by the Programme Committee.

**Individual Papers**

**Friday 16:30h-18:00h and Saturday 16:30h-18:00h**

This section of the conference is intended to allow members of the EPF component societies (including candidates) to become more involved with the EPF scientific work and to submit their ongoing clinical work and research for peer discussion and debate. It is also possible for smaller groups to submit their work. Submitted papers will undergo peer review organized by the Programme Committee.

If you are interested in presenting a paper please see www.epf-fep.eu for application form and instructions on submitting your work. Submitted papers should be no more than 8-12 pages / maximum 22,000 letters.

Deadline for submission: **30th November 2016**. Enquiries to geber@t-online.de.

**Post Plenary Discussion Group**

**Friday 11:00h-12:30h and Saturday 11:00h-12:30h**

Following the plenary sessions, both on Friday and Saturday, there will be a Discussion Group - following the tradition established in Basel, Turin, Stockholm and Berlin. The aim is to offer a possibility to go into some depth discussing the plenary presentation, but in a smaller setting. On both occasions the plenary speakers and discussants will be present: On Friday Sverre Varvin (Norwegian Soc) and Ursula Kreuzer-Haustein (German Soc), and on Saturday Franco de Masi (Italian Soc) and Marina Arutyunyan (Moscow Soc). Moderators will be Viviana Strauss (German Assoc) and Gianina Micu (Romanian Soc) who also take on the task to translate between English, German and French.

The group is open and there is no preregistration. Candidates are welcome.

**A Large Group Event**

**Friday 16:30h-18:00h and Saturday 16:30h-18:00h**

**Consultants: Shmuel Erlich, Mira Erlich-Ginor and Pedro Gil Corbacho**

**Between familiar and unfamiliar: lost in psychoanalytic organizations**

This Large Group provides a space for the emotional and experiential aspects not usually touched on in our scientific discourse. As analysts we are used to work under nearly solitary conditions, meeting with one other person at a time. But we also belong to larger groups and organizations, like our societies and institutes, and participate in conferences with many others such as this one. This Large Group aims to explore the thoughts, feelings, fantasies and projections that may emerge around these experiences and the theme of the conference, as it pertains to being in a crowded meeting with a large number of analysts and finding or losing ourselves between the familiar and unfamiliar.

Three consultants will be available to facilitate the group work: Shmuel Erlich (Israel Soc), Mira Erlich-Ginor (Israel Soc) and Pedro Gil Corbacho (Madrid Assoc).

This Large Group will meet twice and is open to all conference participants, including guests. There is no need to preregister.
Starting from a clinical point of view we would like to better apprehend the points of convergence between hypotheses concerning the somatization processes; these are often hidden behind different metapsychological theorizations. On the other hand, it is equally important to work on the basic differences between these theories. Our working party aims to work on these issues.

There will be two groups (in English) in The Hague, each one with two sessions, one in the morning, one in the afternoon. During the morning session, the presenter will speak of the two or three very first interviews with his / her patient. We will then discuss our hypotheses on the functioning of the patient, on our understanding of the mechanisms leading to somatic illness in this particular case as well as on the first transference – countertransference interactions.

In a second part of the morning session, the presenter will report the raw material of one or two sessions. In addition to the moderator leading the discussion, there will be a silent listener who will intervene at specific moments when central psychosomatic issues seem to emerge. We will explore how these moments relate to the initial hypotheses drawn from the first interviews.

After the lunch break, we will try to explore more in depth the transferential and countertransferential issues raised during the morning session and the way the group’s dynamics reflect them.

Leaders of the groups will be members of the core group (Fotis Bobos (Hellenic Soc), Bérengère de Senarclens (Swiss Soc), Joerg Frommer (German Soc), Marina Perris (British Soc), Jacques Press (Swiss Soc), Eva Schmid-Gloor (Swiss Soc), Christian Seulin (Paris Soc), Luigi Solano (Italian Soc), Nick Temple (British Soc)).

If you are interested, please write to jacques.press@bluewin.ch with a few words to introduce yourself. There will be no direct registration by Geber and Reusch. Deadline is 28th February 2017. Limited registration. Our workshops are open to members and candidates of psychoanalytical societies.
We co-create a language to discuss differences and understand the presenter’s work. We wish to overcome the idea that we accept the work of a colleague just because he works as ‘me’. Often clinical material is heard from one chosen implicit basic assumption (recognised or not). It is part of our goals to train ourselves in listening not only to recognise the presenter’s clinical assumptions but also to recognise our assumptions as well.

We shall try to understand from which theory we are listening to the presenter as well as trying to understand from which theory the presenter is listening to his patient and interpreting or not interpreting. We explore the impact that the theoretical assumptions of each participant have on the discussion itself.

Common language refers to a way of understanding each other in the group, not to a project of working as psychoanalyst in a similar way. Though it might seem a difficult task, we feel it makes justice to differences instead of idealising sameness.

We are using the function of ‘listening to listening’, which was initially limited to the psychoanalytical listening in the session. By ‘listening to how each participant listens to each other’, the sources of misunderstanding (as a valuable tool) may appear and so we begin to recognise the basic assumptions of each participant (which might create, precisely, the misunderstanding).

The Forum promotes child analysis within the EPF and organises three events each year.

**Child Analysis Pre-conference**  
(Thursday all day)  
This event offers an in-depth clinical experience for child analysts and those keen to explore the beginnings of adult psychic functioning. Detailed session material is presented to small groups and discussed using the Weaving Thoughts Method, chosen for its capacity to foster openness to unconscious processes while helping to contain critical or supervisory trends. Those unfamiliar with this approach may wish to read Norman J & Salomonsson B (2005), *Weaving thoughts: A method for presenting and commenting on psychoanalytic case material in a peer group*, Int J Psycho-Anal, 86:1281-1298.

Pre-registration is required for this event. If you would like to participate or present a case to one of the small groups, please email Elena Fieschi-Viscardi: efieschi@gmail.com.

**Child Analysis Workshop**  
(Friday afternoon)  
In 2015, the Forum started a series of workshops on Children in Modern Families, investigating the challenges to child analysis of unprecedented cultural shifts in the course of a generation. Over the coming years topics including fertility treatment, same-sex parents, multi-ethnic adoptions into ‘rainbow families’ and patchwork families will be discussed. The speaker for 2017 is Dirk Vlietstra (Dutch Soc) with a paper entitled *Modern Family and Adolescent Breakdown*. Majlis Winberg-Salomonsson (Swedish Assoc) will chair.

If you would like to present a paper in a future Child Analysis or Parent-Infant Workshop, please email Beate Schumacher: b.schumacher@hotmail.com.

**Parent Infant Workshop**  
(Friday morning)  
The focus of this workshop is on psychodynamic work with infants and their parents and we have, over the years, had presentations from colleagues and projects all over Europe, applying psychoanalytic thinking to the parent-infant relationship. This year, Marco Mastella (Italian Soc) will present his paper *The Scream – The Emergence of Transgenerational Trauma in Parent-Infant Psychotherapy*.

Elena Fieschi-Viscardi (Spanish Soc) will chair the session.

**Joint Panel**  
(Saturday morning)  
This year our programme includes again a joint event organized by the adolescents and children forums, which we hope will be a successful and creative space. The purpose of this panel is to discuss theoretical and clinical material from the point of view of child and adolescent psychoanalysis. There will be 2 moderators, Antònia Grimalt (Spanish Soc) from the Forum for the Psychoanalysis of Children and Jenny Davids (British Soc) from the Forum for Psychoanalysis of Adolescents, who will facilitate discussion with the audience.

Eligible to participate are all colleagues registered for the conference.
The familiar and the unfamiliar in adolescence

Adolescence commences with the shock of the new, the child confronted by the radical unfamiliarity of his or her own sexually adult body. Adulthood is not unfamiliar, but the adult body had been previously the prerogative of the parents, the Other, the “not me”, whose power included the capacity to generate life, to experience jouissance, and to protect the vulnerable child. If the Oedipal task has been faced, the possessive claim on or appropriation of one parent or another has been reluctantly surrendered, the grounds of sexual identity established, while parental protection has been maintained, for the duration of childhood. If the anxiety of separateness has been intolerable to the child, then the child who has lived in a timeless, idealized bubble of eternal narcissistic union to an inseparable adult is doubly shocked by the fact of life which is pubertal change. In all cases, there is a moment of radical and uncanny interruption. The bodily attributes of the adults who have been loved and hated, attacked or negated, identified with or merged with suddenly come alive and invade the self, disturb the body ego, change its boundaries, demand a reworking of the relationship to the parents, to reality, to the transience of life, and to culture.

The task is not small. It depends on a capacity for work as well as play, in order to relearn the limits of “me”, the relation to the “not me”, the reality of the separation and distancing from the adults, who have been up to then, the “we of me” as Carson McCullers’ anguished and conflicted heroine protests in A Member of the Wedding.

The programme follows the same structure as in previous years:

Thursday morning, 10:30h-13:15h
Two parallel clinical workshops, in English and French. A presenter will bring clinical material – analytic sessions - for discussion with the participants.

Thursday afternoon, 14:30h-18:00h
A clinical paper will be presented (Arjen Schut (Dutch Soc) Perverse functioning in adolescence), developing the theme of the congress from a clinical perspective, through an analytic case. A member of the forum discusses the presentation, followed by open discussion from the floor.

Friday morning, 11:00h-12:30h
A theoretical paper and a discussion, by two experienced adolescent analysts.

Saturday morning, 11:00h-12:30h
This year our programme includes again a joint event organized by the adolescents and children forums, which we hope will be a successful and creative space. The purpose of this panel is to discuss theoretical and clinical material from the point of view of child and adolescent psychoanalysis. There will be 2 moderators, Antònia Grim (Spanish Soc) from the Forum for the Psychoanalysis of Children and Jenny Davids (British Soc) from the Forum for Psychoanalysis of Adolescents, who will facilitate discussion with the audience.

Candidates are welcome.

If you would like to participate in one of the clinical workshops on Thursday please email: geber-reusch@t-online.de with copy to pgrieve@gmail.com.
Collective traumata and their traces in analysis

This paper focuses on the experience gathered from the psychoanalytical treatment of survivors of mass execution, as well as of their descendants. In order to reflect on this clinical material, we have drawn on psychoanalytical texts concerning the links between the individual, culture and history. Although “Massenpsychologie und Ich-Analyse” (“Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego”) can be considered the most important work on this issue, our reflections strongly lean onto psychoanalytic reports of colleagues, on writings of survivors and their descendants, as well as on research on contemporary history, political anthropology, literature, etc..

From the very beginning, in his working hypothesis, Freud locates culture and the collective in the very core of the psyche, which thus bares traces of our ancestors’ experiences.

With regard to this issue, the contributions of colleagues in our SPP-seminar have lead us to conceive the intertwining of the individual and the collective within the cure somewhat diversely, i.e. not merely in terms of duality and mutually exclusive dichotomies. In our opinion, the confrontation with a more complex interlinking of the individual and culture requires another mode of understanding.

In our ongoing reflections upon these issues in the forum we will examine the following:

- Are there any contemporary adaptations of the psychological trauma theory with regard to the mass executions in the 20th century?
- An exploration of the way the links between the patient’s dissociation and the collective circumstances are enacted in counter-transference and how they can be treated and interpreted. We believe that, before the historical event can be worked through collectively, it generally passes through a period of latency, which determines the modalities of the transference of experiences from one generation to the next. The nature and the function of this latency period, as well as the concurring psychological processes, remain to be described and explored. By discussing the above questions, we aim to broaden the discourse on the consequences of the “currant collective traumata” in contemporary Europe. We are faced with them in the treatment room, because in psychoanalysis, the individual subject’s very essence is linked with the collective.

If you would like to participate in this group please email: geber-reusch@t-online.de.
Clinical presentations and candidates are welcome.
This specific method of examining clinical material was developed by Wolfgang Loch in Tübingen. He has characterized the discussion of clinical material in groups by comparing it to the model of the prism effect: Like the light beam that, when funnelled through a prism, will reveal heretofore invisible parts and make them transparent. The group discussions will reveal thus far unmentioned and unconscious components of the patient and make him appear in his various parts and different nuances, thus enabling a deeper understanding of the psychoanalytic work.

This psychoanalytic method as a discourse oriented method, which aims at the mutual understanding between subjects, is therefore particularly well suited to facilitate a clinical understanding between different psychoanalytic schools and traditions. The group is not only interested in a psychoanalytic process but mainly in arriving at possible interpretations.

The initial presentation of the material ends at a point just before the first intervention or interpretation has been made. Only when the group itself has arrived at one or more possible interpretations, the presenter will show the rest of the session. Information about diagnosis, patient biography, the process, number of previous treatment hours and frequency of treatment will not be provided until the presented session has been discussed in its entirety that is only before the final discussion.

The independent clinical groups (FCG) in The Hague will consist of two moderators, one presenter, and a maximum of 15 participants. The clinical material may be chosen from psychoanalytic treatments in a variety of settings. The participants ought to come from different European societies.

The following colleagues will act as moderators in The Hague: Ursula Burkert (German Assoc), Milagros Cid Sanz (Madrid Assoc), Patrick Miller (Paris Soc), Denny Panitz (Hellenic Soc), Manuela Utrilla Robles (Madrid Assoc), Jonathan Sklar (British Soc), Claudia Thußbas (German Assoc), Dorothee von Tippelskirch-Eissing (German Assoc), Christine Wegner (German Assoc) und Peter Wegner (German Assoc).

For the first time we will present the methodology and the clinic of the Free Clinical Groups in a special panel on Friday 11:00h-12:30h (see ‘programme at a glance’, page 4).

Eligible to participate are all IPA-members as well as candidates. Due to multiple requests, we will offer groups in German, French and English (depending on the number of registrations). Those wishing to participate in one of these groups should write, no later than 26th February 2017, to geber-reusch@t-online.de.
Reflections on Freud’s work “The Uncanny”
SE XVII (1917-1919)

Sigmund Freud’s work “Das Unheimliche”, “The Uncanny” (1919) refers to the theme of the conference “THE FAMILIAR AND THE UNFAMILIAR” in various ways and simultaneously addresses our concern about the threat of terrorist attacks in many countries. This fact immediately – and in a quite specific way – has lead to questions regarding the meaning of the concept of “The Uncanny”. Freud elucidates this term from different perspectives. He begins by investigating its etymology and its literary illustration in E.T.A. Hoffmann’s novel “Der Sandmann”, as well as by the study of psychopathological states. Later, in his work “Beyond the Pleasure Principle” (1920), his reflections will induce diverse elaborations (i.e. the fundamental expansion of the term ‘repetition compulsion’), thus giving rise to the various theoretical and clinical discussions, which have taken place in the past decade.

In the German language “uncanny” or “unheimlich” generates multifarious associations and connotations, which can scarcely – if at all – be found in other languages. For example, Marie Bonaparte’s translation of “The Uncanny” into French was “L’inquiétante Etrangeté”, which can be retranslated as “the disturbing foreignness”. In the new edition of the Collected Works in French, “L’inquiétant” is “the disturbing”. The meaning of the word “heimlich” or “clandestine” – in the sense of covert, secret, unobserved, hidden, undetected, tacit, furtive, obscure – is multifaceted in and of itself and becomes transformed into “unheimlich”, “uncanny” in moments of fear, i.e. if we are faced with something threatening, horrible or gruesome. For instance, an “uncanny” feeling might befall us at misty site. Hence, we are no longer capable of understanding and judgment; even more so in the case of internal, subconscious processes. On the other hand, in German the word “heimlich”, also contains the Germanic “heim”, meaning native country, house, domicile; but – then again – this very house may become a place containing a secret.

Based on these arcs of suspense, we face various implications, which we are looking forward to examining together.

In English and German; contributions regarding “The Uncanny” in other languages are very welcome.

Christoph E. Walker (German Assoc)
christoph.walker@t-online.de
Sylvia Zwettler-Otte (Vienna Soc)
sylvia@zwettler-otte.at
Ethical conflicts in contemporary psychoanalysis

Our divergences and convergences in Europe rest on different conceptions of psychoanalytic ethics, that is to say the principles and values that guide our practice. What are the ethical foundations of these practices within our analytic cultures and their historical context? How can our debate interrogate the development of contemporary psychoanalysis facing the current crisis? Is it relevant to insist upon a specifically psychoanalytic ethics, distinct from the ethics of care within the psychotherapeutic field? Our reflections will start from concrete situations and conflicts in our practice that raise questions as to the conception and goals of our work.

This year our debate is centred upon the ethics of Skype analysis.

The ethics of Skype analysis: the familiar and the unfamiliar

What are the ethical questions raised by the growing practice of Skype analysis? How does the use of Skype render the familiar ethical debates unfamiliar? Can an entire analysis be conducted by Skype? What happens to the analytic setting both internal and external? What about the absence of the body? What are the implications of including Skype in a conventional analysis? These are only some of the ethical issues that we will debate together with our two speakers who will introduce the theme.
This new Ad Hoc Group originates from the Working Party on the Specificity of Psychoanalytic Treatment Today and constitutes two interconnected components of psychoanalytic research:

- For ten years it has been a Working Party (known as the Paris Group) that has been integrated into the research programme of the EPF.
- The research proposes a pioneering new psychoanalytic methodology for analysts to work in small clinical groups. The clinical exchanges between a diverse range of international analysts form part of the crucial experience for psychoanalytic research today.

The small clinical groups are made up of between 12 and 15 analysts from different analytic cultures who work for a day and a half on the same clinical material i.e. 3 consecutive sessions of a current analytic treatment presented by one analyst.

The methodology has evolved from the work of J. L. Donnet and R. Kaës. The presenter relates the essentials of the session content without giving any indication of the patient’s biography or the course of the analysis. Once the first session has been presented the analyst remains quiet without responding to questions raised by members of the group. The fundamental rule of the group is that of psychoanalytic treatment for the patient i.e. to associate freely to the material. Thus the group ‘constructs’ the patient. During this work the two moderators hold and mediate the group’s associations.

The basic idea is for each participant to articulate the unconscious derivatives, based on their conscious and unconscious basic assumptions, in order to further the inter-analytic work that constitutes a diffraction of the patient and analytic couple. Towards the end of the work, usually in the last meeting, the presenter shares their responses to the group process. This step enables the group, moderators and presenter to assess the validity of the inter-analytic group work in identifying the specificity of each psychoanalytic treatment.

In The Hague there will be 4 groups (French speaking and English speaking). Moderators will be: Jan Abram (British Soc), Catherine Desvignes (Research and Training Soc), Marie-France Dispaux (Belgian Soc), Yvette Dorey (French Assoc), Bien Filet (Dutch Soc), Nicolas Gougoulis (Paris Soc), Lila Hoijmann (Paris Soc), Erika Kittler (German Assoc), Luc Michel (Swiss Soc), Andrea Scardovi (Italian Soc), Ronny Shaw (American Assoc), Philippe Valon (French Assoc).

Please note that the clinical groups will take place on Wednesday 15:30h to 19:00h and Thursday 09:00h to 18:45h. Those wishing to take part should attend all sessions of their group.

Eligible to participate are all colleagues registered for the conference, including all members and candidates. Note: these groups require pre-registration. Please specify your choice of language. Those wishing to participate in one of these groups should write soonest to geber-reusch@t-online.de and janabram3@gmail.com. Please note: The application is binding, once it is confirmed by the Chair of the Ad Hoc Group (Jan Abram).
In the Ad hoc Group on “Exploring Training Process and Practice (ETPP)“, interested training and supervising analysts of all European psychoanalytic societies are invited to look at candidates in training and especially in supervision. In the presentation and discussion we want to follow the course of the whole training and want to investigate the strengths and weaknesses of the presented candidates at different stages of their training, also dependent of the institutional strengths and weaknesses.

This follows from a crucial result of the former ETEP-project: We have seen that all psychoanalytic supervision is embedded in an institution. The question then arises as to whether and how the progress of candidates, their strengths and weaknesses, are linked to specific institutional influences. One might say, supervision is an interface between a private relationship and an institutional process.

Therefore the ETPP-Ad hoc Group places emphasis on the meaning of the structure and the culture of an institute in which supervision takes place. Both structure and culture are essentially influenced by the specific training model of each institute, and therefore the presentation of supervisory material will be combined with the question: How do different training models interact with supervision and how does the institution further or hinder the progress of candidates?

The methodology will be as follows: A training and supervising analyst will present supervisory material in a small group of colleagues and the colleagues should concentrate on the implicit training model of the presenter and on the institutional influence as well. The idea is not to focus on what group members think the criteria of strengths and weaknesses should be, but on understanding what the presenter’s ideas are. This is therefore also an opportunity for the presenter to try to set out his ideas and to reflect on them with colleagues, especially in relation to the institutional context.

Subject to the number of participants, there will be several small groups and each group will be moderated by a member of the ETPP core group.

Eligible to participate are all EPF and IPA training and supervising analysts. If you want to participate please register online with your registration for the conference not later than 5th February 2017 and send a mail to drfrlabbe@gmail.com.
Members: Fakhry Davids (British Soc), Virginia De Micco (Italian Soc), Levas Kovarskis (Finnish Soc), Sevil Kural (PSIKE Istanbul), Aydan Özdağlar (German Assoc), Saskia von Overbeck Ottino (Swiss Soc), Geneviève Welsh (Paris Soc)

Meeting the cultural other: familiar and unfamiliar aspects

The psychoanalyst’s encounter with a culturally-different patient stirs up the familiar and the unfamiliar. On the one hand, as a human being the patient functions, consciously and unconsciously, in a similar way to the analyst, and hence is recognisable. On the other hand, differences between them linked to their cultural backgrounds bring in the unfamiliar, some of which will be easier to process consciously than others.

For instance, material may emerge that is repressed in the culture of one but given open expression in that of the other, bringing the return of the repressed and the uncanny. These elements may find their way into culture-related transferences and countertransferences, adding a layer of complexity.

Collective fantasies in the analyst about the patient’s culture, including unconscious stereotypes, may also be present and projected onto the patient.

The process of migration itself might even affect parenthood and the identification processes in the second-generation patient. The access to symbolic references unavailable to their parents, will bring in complicated identifications where origins can become enigmatic lands of conflict between the familiar and unfamiliar difficult to work through.

All these factors may influence and complicate work with the culturally-different patient, but they are not widely addressed in our profession today. We will bring forward a range of material for discussion – clinical material, interchanges among psychoanalysts, and incidents from daily life in a world becoming increasingly multicultural – to start a clinical and theoretical dialogue about how psychoanalysis might best address these issues.

The pre-conference Forum will consider detailed clinical material, with the Panel having a more theoretical focus.

Pre-conference Forum (Thursday morning)
Psychoanalysis and the cultural other
Case presenters: Virginia De Micco (Italian Soc) and Geneviève Welsh (Paris Soc)
Discussants: Fakhry Davids (British Soc), Levas Kovarskis (Finnish Soc), Sevil Kural (PSIKE Istanbul)
Chair: Saskia von Overbeck Ottino (Swiss Soc)

Panel on the main theme (Saturday afternoon)
Meeting the cultural other: familiar and unfamiliar aspects
Presenter: Saskia von Overbeck Ottino (Swiss Soc)
Opening Discussant : Geneviève Welsh (Paris Soc)
Chair: Sevil Kural (PSIKE Istanbul)

Open to members and candidates. Preregistration not required.

Ad hoc Group on Psychoanalysis and Muslim Backgrounds
Thursday 09:00h-12:00h and Saturday 14:30h-16:00h
Chair: Saskia von Overbeck Ottino

Members: Fakhry Davids (British Soc), Virginia De Micco (Italian Soc), Levas Kovarskis (Finnish Soc), Sevil Kural (PSIKE Istanbul), Aydan Özdağlar (German Assoc), Saskia von Overbeck Ottino (Swiss Soc), Geneviève Welsh (Paris Soc)
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Treating patients who have killed: the importance of diagnostic clarification

Presenter: Massimo De Mari (Italian Soc)
Chair: Carine Minne (British Soc)

Since 2000, when Leslie Sohn first held this workshop, the long-term psychoanalytic treatments of severely disturbed patients who have killed, have been presented at the annual EPF conferences. These are patients often psychiatrically diagnosed as suffering from Personality Disorders but who manifest psychotic states, particularly at the moments of killing. It is not uncommon for the severity of the patients’ disturbance to be underestimated or even missed by clinicians. In this EPF workshop, we will continue the clinical discussions around the importance of diagnostic clarification, using current cases to illustrate.

“This elderly are someone else....”

It is familiar to all of us, that the elderly are the others. Denying that we ourselves are the elderly, at least for our patients, we are ignoring facts of life. In our daily life this defense maybe necessary and productive as Freud writes in his brilliant short paper On Transience (1914). Repressing, denying and not recognizing age related symptoms of illness and not being familiar with the own ageing process can be very dangerous in psychoanalytic relationships for patients, as well as for analysts and the process of transmitting psychoanalysis. Ignoring this process is destructive for generativity for example of psychoanalytic institutions. It is a challenge to trust and to have to trust in the younger generation.

Contributions in the forum will deal with these aspects giving clinical examples also for the process of mourning which is necessary when becoming aware that not only other people get old.

EPF Ad hoc Group on Ageing / IPA Committee on Ageing
Saturday 11:00h-12:30h
Chair: Martin Teising

Ad hoc Group on Forensic Psychoanalysis
Friday 11:00h-12:30h
Chair: Carine Minne

This will be presented in terms of the helpfulness of considering a single diagnostic entity. The importance of this diagnostic proposal in terms of assessing risk of enactment and treatment needs will be stressed. The workshop is based on the psychoanalytic work of an international group of clinicians based in forensic psychiatry and prison settings in different countries.

All are welcome to join this meeting, candidates and IPA members.
The familiar and the unfamiliar in psychoanalytic institutions. About stumblings of otherness
Speakers: Jasminka Šuljagić (Serbian Soc), Gábor Szőnyi (Hungarian Soc), Franziska Ylander (Swedish Assoc)
Chair: Bernard Chervet (Paris Soc)

The aim of the Ad Hoc Group on Institutional Matters (IMG) is to explore matters concerning the implications and interrelations of two kinds of logic within psychoanalytic associations. On one hand, there is groupal logic, based on a fear of the unknown and of the foreign, the denial of otherness, and on the alienation in an idealistic mentality. On the other hand, we find singular logic which repeats and transfers to institutions reminiscences of the familiar and the unfamiliar in childhood, with some consequences such as estrangement and projection of the family model on the institution. The mix of both logics can create great conflictual complexity in the organization of psychoanalytic institutions, or a rupture between the familiar and unfamiliar, identified firstly in oneself and secondly in the institution.

To promote this reflexion, some criteria and parameters will be chosen and followed, such as the foundation of new groups and societies, the age of the societies, their specific organisations, inherent difficulties in psychoanalytical institutions, the care to be given when shaping new groups and during the training of individuals, the similarities and differences in problems occurring within different societies, going through the challenges of today’s world, and highlighting individuality as well as democracy.

Members of the core group: Bernard Chervet (Paris Soc), Christine Diercks (Vienna Soc), Maggiorino Genta (Swiss Soc), Philip Stokoe (British Soc), Jasminka Šuljagić (Serbian Soc), Gábor Szőnyi (Hungarian Soc), Franziska Ylander (Swedish Assoc)
This meeting offers the opportunity for summer school organizers to meet and share their different experiences of summer schools that are organized across Europe. The aim of this meeting is to explore together the impact of the outreach of psychoanalysis that if offered to the students and to improve the different strategies and programmes that are offered throughout Europe.

This meeting can also give the opportunity for future organizers to have a better idea on “what works well and what works less”.

**Workshop on Psychoanalysis and the Web**  
Thursday 15:00h-18:00h  
Chair: Gabriella Giustino

Speakers: Jones De Luca, Luca Caldironi, Rosa Spagnolo  
Chair: Gabriella Giustino

Speakers and Chair are all members of the Italian Psychoanalytical Society.

The Italian Psychoanalytical Society Spiweb Editorial Board is again promoting a workshop on Psychoanalysis and the Web. The Berlin Workshop was vibrant with a wealth of contributions from participants of many psychoanalytical societies.

“Familiar and Unfamiliar”, the theme of the Congress, is one that stimulates thought in the area of the Web. In fact in psychoanalysis we are “familiarizing” ourselves with the Web.

The main issues we would like to focus on, in The Hague are:

- The need for contemporary psychoanalysis to learn more about the web and communication coming from Internet.
- The question of how psychoanalysis may be conveyed on the Internet and in particular the identity of psychoanalysts.
- Who should the management of psychoanalytic websites be entrusted to? We think that, in addition to professional Webmasters, it is fundamental that writing and thinking is done by those who know our discipline in depth or by the members themselves.
- How is important to understand the target of readers who Spiweb is addressed to and what purpose the website of a Psychoanalytic Society aims to achieve.

Open to Member and Guests.

**Platform for Summerschool Organizers**  
Thursday 16:00h-18:00h  
Chair: Katy Bogliatto

This meeting offers the opportunity for summer school organizers to meet and share their different experiences of summer schools that are organized across Europe. The aim of this meeting is to explore together the impact of the outreach of psychoanalysis that if offered to the students and to improve the different strategies and programmes that are offered throughout Europe.
The Aim of the Newly Qualified Training Analysts Meeting of the EPF is to allow recently qualified training analysts an opportunity to discuss pertinent issues and problems concerning their new tasks with colleagues from other psychoanalytic societies.

Their specific functions within the psychoanalytic training require continuous education on behalf of the training analysts. While part of it is provided by local psychoanalytical institutes, the EPF wants to offer training analysts additional education in a surrounding which is unencumbered by the inevitable incestuous and power-related bindings of local institutes.

The participants will share their reflections on admission to training, on supervision, as well as on general aspects of psychoanalytical training. Teamwork will take place in small groups. For reasons of confidentiality only one member of a society will be permitted per group. The number of participants is limited.

Detailed information will follow after registration.

If you would like to participate in this group please email: geber-reusch@t-online.de. Only 1 member of one society will be accepted in a group. Please copy Eva Schmid-Gloor eva.s@bluewin.ch.
The uncanny charm of psychoanalysis: Still “unfamiliar” in academia after all this time (1897-2017)? Experiences from different regions of the IPA

Chair: Franco Borgogno (Italian Soc)

The 2017 Panel of the IPA-Committee “Psychoanalysis and the University” at the EPF Conference in The Hague will focus on the topic of the main Conference “The Familiar and the Unfamiliar”, relating it to academia.

Coming from diverse psychoanalytic cultures as well as different regions of the IPA, the panelists Henrik Enckell, Charles M. T. Hanly, Patrizia Giampieri-Deutsch, Frances Thomson-Salo, and Franco Borgogno (Chair) will reflect on how psychoanalysis in academia remains quite “unfamiliar” or even “uncanny”. Indeed, everybody in academia knows the name of our discipline; therefore, psychoanalysis seems very “familiar” at first glance. However, it is nevertheless difficult to transmit the essence of living psychoanalysis in academia, especially its technical peculiarity and uniqueness compared to other psychotherapeutic methods or counselling in general.

Furthermore, the past and current striving in psychoanalysis to establish its own tradition of research including the development of applicable methodologies is still “unfamiliar” to the scientific community in academia.

The Panel will also consider the diversity of the issue “familiar” versus “unfamiliar” occurring in different countries and regions of the IPA. Fruitful experiences in teaching and researching psychoanalysis are occurring within the frame of a broad range of different faculties in Australia and Canada as well as European countries like Finland or Austria. Nevertheless, it is not easy to bear the aura of “otherness” while maintaining the constraints of our discipline. Although the presence and impact of psychoanalysis in academia do not necessarily imply the implementation of training at the university, psychoanalysts have been working in previously mentioned countries like Finland or Austria to link training to academia. Finland has already succeeded in implementing the training at the university as a postgraduate program, whereas Austria is going to establish a program combining the training with an academic degree.

Comments on the short presentations will be provided by discussants, reflecting on their own experiences at different university faculties as well as at their institutes of training.

Additional remarks:
The Panel “Psychoanalysis and the University” is also open for candidates, students and guests. No preregistration is necessary.
Gertraud Schlesinger-Kipp (German Assoc), Mania Kramuschke (Germany) Sorrow, pain, loss, trauma…. Psychosocial and psychotherapeutic approach with female refugees
Nora Hettich (Germany), Marie Teising (German Assoc) Psychoanalytic reflections on the project “Step by Step” in a refugee camp in Darmstadt/Germany (Chair of the project: Marianne Leuzinger-Bohleber (German Assoc))
Discussant: NN
Chair: Ingrid Möslein-Teising (German Assoc)

The Committee on Women and Psychoanalysis of the IPA provide a framework for the exploration of topics related to issues mainly about women but also considering the relations between men and women, masculinity and femininity and currently includes issues concerning men. The particular approach of this Committee is to relate psychoanalytical thinking with the outside world and its problems and the socio-cultural point of view and through this the revision and updating of psychoanalytical concepts. We conduct psychoanalytical research into problems concerning the complex relations between categories of sexuality and gender and their implications for psychoanalysis.

We encounter “The Familiar and Unfamiliar” in the revolving situation with the great numbers of refugees who have come to Europe in 2015 and 2016. Especially women and girls are under specific gender related threats in their homelands, during the flight and also in the refugee camps in Europe. Gertraud Schlesinger-Kipp describes the project of Alexander-Mitscherlich-Institute in Kassel, Germany, where about 25 Psychoanalysts and Psychotherapists realized a psychosocial and psychotherapeutic approach in refugee camps in Kassel, especially with women who are searching shelter and asylum in Germany. They come mostly from Syria, Afghanistan, Iraq and Eritrea. The loss of identity, the pain of family conflicts, the gender specific causes of the flight as well as the deep irritation and at the same time hope through the more free life of women in Western country are touching the therapist and translator and demands a cultural- and gender sensitive approach. The limits and chances of sometime single possibilities of talks are presented together with Art therapist Mania Kramuschke who presents a case of a 16 year old girl.

Marianne Leuzinger-Bohleber (Sigmund-Freud-Institut) and Sabine Andresen (Goethe University) are responsible for the pilot project “STEP-BY-STEP” for supporting refugees in the arrival institution “Michaelis-Village” in Darmstadt. The project is based on the psychoanalytical trauma research as well as pedagogical concepts as “child-friendly space” which had been developed for children in refugee camps in Palestine. The interdisciplinary team of STEP-BY-STEP (around 60 persons) are closely cooperating with the professional and non-professional teams in the “Michaelis Village”. One focus lies on supporting pregnant refugees and young mothers. Young female researchers such as Nora Hettich and Marie Teising will present this project.
West meets East and East meets West: Familiar and Unfamiliar in communication, training and post-training development of psychoanalysts and groups in Eastern Europe

Panelists: Paolo Fonda (Italian Soc), Tomas Kajokas (Vilnius Soc), Endel Talvik (Estonian-Latvian Soc), Christoph E. Walker (German Assoc)
Chair: Igor Kadyrov (Moscow Soc)

Basing on the panelists’ experience of working (training and teaching) at the Han Groen-Prakken European Psychoanalytic Institute (EPI), the panel will explore some familiar and unfamiliar aspects, resources as well as obstacles in communication, training (supervision) and post-training development of psychoanalysts and groups in Eastern Europe and of their current and future integration into the international psychoanalytic community.

How to write a psychoanalytic paper for The International Journal of Psychoanalysis

The Workshop will explore essential aspects of preparing a paper for publication. Chaired by the Editor-in-Chief of The International Journal of Psychoanalysis Dana Birksted-Breen (British Soc), in participation with Editors of the International Journal, this workshop will look at fundamental aspects of writing a psychoanalytic paper, such as: What makes a paper worthy of publication; how to use literature in the field; how to justify, develop and present ideas; what is the role of the clinical material; what makes a strong title and abstract; how to begin and conclude a paper; how the submission and reviewing process works. We will consider these issues as a group by working together on a concrete example of a paper.

It is hoped that through this workshop the participants will feel encouraged to submit their papers for publication and will be better equipped to do so.

Discussions will centre around one or two draft papers submitted by participants.

Lead by Rachel Blass (British Soc / Israel Soc)

If you would like to submit a draft paper to be discussed at the workshop please get in touch with Dana Birksted-Breen danabirksted-breen@dib.me.uk or Rachel Blass r.blass@ucl.ac.uk.

We ask you to register your participation in advance. Only those who have registered in advance will be able to receive the pre-circulated material. Registration with Chris Lawrence Chris.Lawrence@iopa.org.uk and copy to Brigitte Reusch geber-reusch@t-online.de.
As part of the EPF Conference, IPSO offers a programme providing an important opportunity for the intercultural dialogue between candidates in the pluralistic European psychoanalytical landscape.

The – preliminary – IPSO Programme will include:

- IPSO Workshop on Haydée Faimberg’s ‘Listening to listening’ discussion method (chaired by Haydée Faimberg), that will be open for candidates and recently graduated members, on Wednesday afternoon 5th April 2017.

- An opening event with welcoming words by our hosts and the IPSO Representatives followed by a plenary session with a paper presentation and discussion on Thursday afternoon, 6th April 2017.

- During the EPF Conference we will have 4 supervision with training analysts from different countries. We would appreciate if some colleagues would agree to present a case (in English) in one of the IPSO workshops.

- As a traditional part of the IPSO programme, there will be an IPSO Dinner Party on Friday evening, 7th April 2017 for people to meet, dine, dance, discuss and exchange about psychoanalytical training and practice in the different psychoanalytic traditions and cultures.

We look forward to meeting you in The Hague!
Registration fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>before 05.03.2017</th>
<th>from 06.03.2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member of an EPF-Society / IPA member</td>
<td>395,- €</td>
<td>495,- €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating Guest – no participation in the clinical workshops and sponsored by the President or other officer of an EPF society</td>
<td>475,- €</td>
<td>575,- €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate – registered in IPA component societies and endorsed by the President or other officer of an EPF society</td>
<td>195,- €</td>
<td>295,- €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI member/ EPI candidate</td>
<td>50,- €</td>
<td>50,- €</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is included in the registration fee?
- Participation in the scientific meetings
- Note: some groups require pre-registration.
- Refreshments during the coffee breaks.

Certification (professional development points) has been applied for.  
Details to follow on the EPF website at [www.epf-fep.eu](http://www.epf-fep.eu)

How to participate in a small group workshop?
A number of pre-registered workshops and discussions on various themes have been described in this leaflet and more details can be seen regularly updated on the EPF website. Those registering online will have the opportunity to select one or more groups during the registration process. Those who register by post or fax should mark their choices on the registration form. You can select one option for each day that you will be at the conference. If you wish to take part it is essential that you apply within the deadline given in the registration form.

Please remember you can only select one option per day.

The EPF Committees for The Hague 2017
The Programme Committee:
Heribert Blass (German Assoc) – Chair
Jan Abram (British Soc)
Wouter Gomperts (Dutch Soc)
Josina Gussenhoven (Dutch Soc)
Udo Hock (German Assoc)
Annika Hirdman Künstlicher (Swedish Assoc)
Alberto Luchetti (Italian Soc)
Pascale Michon-Raffaitin (French Assoc)
Alexander Uskov (Moscow Soc)

The Local Committee:
Gerda Frijda (Dutch Soc) – Chair
Lenneke Katz (Dutch Soc)
Jos van Mosel (Dutch Soc)
Hansa van Ramshorst (Dutch Soc)
Claudia Schnitzler (Dutch Soc)
Information and an online conference timetable can be found at www.epf-fep.eu. Details can also be obtained from the Honorary Secretary of your local EPF member Society. For registrations, hotels, transfer, and Saturday evening option please contact Geber + Reusch at geber-reusch@t-online.de.

Return the registration form to:
Congress-Organisation
Geber + Reusch
Habichtsweg 11
D-60437 Frankfurt/Main
Tel +49 (0) 69 - 50 52 39
Fax +49 (0) 69 - 90 50 88 84
geber-reusch@t-online.de
Register online at www.epf-fep.eu

Social events
For Saturday, 08.04. not known at the time of printing.

Taxi fares to World Forum The Hague
from
• Rotterdam The Hague Airport – RTM - Estimated taxi fare: 45,- € (one way)
• Amsterdam Airport Schiphol – AMS - Estimated taxi fare: 70,- € (one way)
(You can book a taxi in advance using www.tinker.travel/Schiphol-Airport-Taxi )

Train to The Hague Centraal
• from Amsterdam Airport Schiphol – AMS - every 30 minutes, cost 8,30 € per trip and takes approx. 30 minutes

Public Transport to World Forum The Hague
• from The Hague Centraal bus 24 (leaving from the top of the station building), get off at station “Gemeentemuseum”. To walk to World Forum The Hague approx. 8 minutes.
• or use bus 24, get off at station “Centrum”, change to tram 1 and get off at station “World Forum”. To walk to World Forum The Hague approx. 5 minutes.

Accommodation
Geber+Reusch has reserved a room allotment in the The Hague Marriott Hotel: The Hague Marriott featuring an unbeatable location right next to the World Forum and boasts a wide assortment of luxury amenities, including a gym, free Wi-Fi, Executive Lounge and terrific on-site restaurant options. The rate for single room is 140,- € per night, incl. breakfast and for the double room 164,50 € per night, incl. breakfast. The city tax of 4,60 € per person and night will be charged separately.
On-site parking fee: 2,- € hourly, 19,50 € daily

Further hotel recommendations:
Novotel and Crowne Plaza – both hotels are close to the World Forum.
• The Novotel charges for the single room 165,- € per night, incl. breakfast and for the double room 185,- € per night, incl. breakfast.
The city tax of 4,60 € per person and night will be charged separately.
Please book directly with the hotel.
• The Crowne Plaza charges for the single room 165,- € per night, incl. breakfast and for the double room 178,50 € per night, incl. breakfast.
The city tax of 4,60 € per person and night will be charged separately.
Please book directly with the hotel.
• Carlton Ambassador, a small boutique hotel, close to the city centre.
• Hampshire Babylon, close to The Hague Centraal.